

## **Environment and Regeneration Overview and Scrutiny**

---

**Date of Meeting:** 18 June 2018

**Report Title:** Place Performance Scorecard 2017/18

**Portfolio Holder:** Cllrs A Arnold, P Bates, D Stockton and L Wardlaw

**Senior Officer:** Executive Director Place

---

### **1. Report Summary**

- 1.1. To review measures and outturn performance in the Place Directorate Performance Scorecard 2017/18.

### **2. Recommendation**

- 2.1. That the Scorecard be reviewed and noted for information.

### **3. Reasons for Recommendation**

- 3.1 It is good practice to share with Scrutiny an overview of key performance indicators monitored at directorate level and the outturn performance data for 2017/18.

### **4. Other Options Considered**

- 4.1. There are no further options to consider.

### **5. Background**

- 5.1 Throughout 2017/18 a Place Directorate Scorecard was developed and launched, following engagement at directorate management team level and review by the Corporate Leadership Team.
- 5.2 The Scorecard provides an accessible summary of 2017/18 performance against targets within the Place directorate. The key performance indicators included in the Scorecard support delivery of individual Team Plan objectives across the directorate, and contribute to overall monitoring of the Council's journey towards achieving its six corporate Outcomes.

### **6. Implications**

#### **6.1. Legal Implications**

- 6.1.1. There are no legal implications at this stage.

## **6.2. Finance Implications**

6.2.1. Regularly reporting Scorecards is managed within the directorate and the Finance & Performance Team, and contained within existing budgets. Changes to performance requirements, or reacting to current performance levels will be recorded within relevant Team Plans and any associated budgetary impact will be included in the annual Business Planning Process or reported as part of the quarterly performance reporting cycle to Cabinet.

## **6.3. Equality Implications**

6.3.1. There are no equalities implications at this stage.

## **6.4. Human Resources Implications**

6.4.1. There are no human resources implications at this stage.

## **6.5. Risk Management Implications**

6.5.1. The directorate performance reporting process supports the Council's wider performance management arrangements and provides opportunities for the Council to identify and focus on areas for improvement to support achievement of its strategic ambitions. Timely performance reporting mitigates risk of the Council not achieving its outcomes by providing the opportunity to review performance outputs and trends, identify areas for improvement, and introduce corrective and/or proactive actions wherever necessary to address areas of poor - or under - performance.

## **6.6. Rural Communities Implications**

6.6.1. There are no implications for rural communities.

## **6.7. Implications for Children & Young People**

6.7.1. There are no direct implications for children and young people at this stage.

## **6.8. Public Health Implications**

6.8.1. There are no direct implications for public health at this stage.

## **7. Ward Members Affected**

7.1. Performance measures from Directorate Scorecards form a supporting role in production of the quarterly performance reports to Cabinet. The

Directorate Scorecard approach enhances the process of performance reporting to Members.

## **8. Access to Information**

8.1. Background information can be inspected by contacting the report author.

## **9. Contact Information**

9.1. Any questions relating to this report should be directed to the following officer:

Name: Frank Jordan

Job Title: Executive Director Place

Email: [Frank.Jordan@cheshireeast.gov.uk](mailto:Frank.Jordan@cheshireeast.gov.uk)